

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE

CHENNAI CENTRE

M.STAT I YEAR: SECOND SEMESTRAL EXAMINATIONS

Duration: 3 hours

Sample Surveys

Date: February 20, 2017

**Note:** Question number 4 is compulsory. The rest of the paper carries 59 marks and you can answer as much as you can from this part. The maximum you can score from this part is 44.

- 1 a) Describe how you would draw a sample of size  $n$  from a population of size  $N$  using Lahiri-Midzuno-Sen sampling scheme.
- b) Verify whether this scheme yields a  $\pi$ PS design. If not, suggest a modification under which this scheme results in inclusion probabilities  $\pi_i$ 's proportional to size.
- c) For size measure  $x$  taking values  $X_i$  on unit  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , let  $p_i = X_i / X$ , where  $X = \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$ . Define  $q_i$  as revised probabilities proportional to  $p_i(1-p_i) / (1-2p_i)$ . For selecting a sample of size two, let the first unit be drawn with  $ppq$  (probability proportional to  $q_i$ ) and the second unit with probability  $p_j / (1 - p_i)$ ,  $j \neq i$ , given that unit  $i$  is selected first. Show that this scheme provides a non negative variance estimator due to Sen-Yates-Grundy.

$$3 + (4+3) + 8 = (18)$$

- 2 a) Explain how the concept of sufficiency is useful in showing that an estimator based on an unordered sample of distinct units is better than one based on an ordered sample.
- b) Demonstrate the admissibility of Horvitz Thompson estimator in the class of all homogeneous linear unbiased estimators for population total.
- c) Show that Mahalanobis's IPNS Technique besides controlling the nonsampling errors, also provides an estimate of the sampling error.
- d) Consider the sampling design  $\{ (s_1, p(s_1)) = ((1,2,3), 0.2); (s_2, p(s_2)) = ((1,2), 0.4); (s_3, p(s_3)) = ((1,2,4), 0.1); (s_4, p(s_4)) = ((3,4), 0.3) \}$ . Devise a one-by-one drawing mechanism for obtaining sample  $s_2$  of this design.
- e) Defining clearly, give an example of (i) a model -unbiased estimate and (ii) a design as well as model unbiased estimate.

$$6 + 6 + 4 + 4 + 4 = (24)$$

Contd./-

3. a) Explain what you understand by Warner's Randomized Response Model for unbiasedly estimating the Proportion of respondents belonging to a sensitive character.
- b) Compare Warner's estimator with a direct and truthful response estimator for this case.
- c) What are the modifications in model and the corresponding estimator as well as its variance under Simmon's unrelated model .

$$4 + 5 + 8 = (17)$$

4. A sampling statistician has selected two districts out of five, growing a certain crop in Tamil Nadu to estimate the total yield  $Y$  of the crop in these five districts. The sample districts with serial numbers 2 and 4 are selected by Probability Proportional to Size ( $x$ ) and With Out Replacement (PPSWOR) design, size being the number of plots( $x$ ) in the districts. Based on the data given in the table below:

---

District sl. no.	1	2	3	4	5
Number of plots $x$	80	100	70	50	40
Yield $y$	?	15.7	?	7.5	?

---

- a) Calculate the statistician's estimate  $\hat{Y}$  of the total  $Y$  using the Horvitz and Thompson method. Also compute the unbiased estimate of variance of  $\hat{Y}$  suggested by Sen-Yates-Grundy and check whether it is nonnegative in this example.
- b) If the same sample is selected by PPS With Replacement design instead of PPSWOR, calculate the Horvitz Thompson estimate of  $Y$ .
- c) Using the information that the statistician has selected district number 4 first in the sample followed by district number 2 without replacement, calculate an unbiased estimate  $t$  of the total  $Y$  and an unbiased estimate  $v(t)$  of  $\text{Var.}(t)$ .

$$(7 + 3) + 2 + (2 + 2) = (16)$$